15 JAMES HOLDMAN, being duly sworn by the clerk, testified as follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION by Mr. Rupp: 17 Q. Sir, would you introduce yourself to the ladies and 18 gentlemen of the jury. 19 My name is James D. Holdman, Jr. 20 A. Q. And, sir, what is your occupation? 21 A. I'm an investigator for the Missouri Division of 22 Fire Safety, which is the State Fire Marshal's Office. 23 Q. And how long have you been employed with the State 24 Fire Marshal's Office? 25

- A. This year, starting my eighth year with them.
- Q. Prior to becoming a state fire marshal, do you have any other experience in law enforcement?
- A. I started out as a deputy in 1989, in Washington County, and have been involved in law enforcement ever since.
- Q. Did you receive any training prior to becoming a deputy?
 - A. As far as law enforcement training?
 - Q. Correct.
- A. When I was a deputy the first year, I went through basic law enforcement academy in Jefferson City through the Missouri State Highway Patrol.
- Q. You went through the highway patrol law enforcement academy?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then you became a certified, a commissioned deputy?
 - A. Certified police officer, yes.
- Q. And then after that you left the Washington County Sheriff's Department; is that correct?
- A. Yes. In late 1991 I went to work for the Missouri State Highway Patrol as a VIN salvage inspector, which is basically checking vehicles for stolen parts, things like that.

- Q. And after you left the Missouri State Highway Patrol, where did you go?
 - A. Missouri Division of Fire Safety.
- Q. And prior to becoming a fire marshal, did you receive any kind of training in fire investigations?
- A. There is ongoing training with the Missouri
 Division of Fire Safety. After I was hired by the Missouri
 Division of Fire Safety, they have a certification program
 which is taught by our office. So I'm a certified police
 officer in the State of Missouri, a certified fire
 investigator in the State of Missouri. I've also attended
 the FBI Police and Arson School in Quantico, Virginia. I've
 attended the National Fire Academy in Emmitsburg, Maryland.
 I attend courses through Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms in
 the United States military and post-blast fire
 investigation.
- Q. And have you received any other training or education?
- A. Other training, there's other law enforcement type training. Haz-mat training.
 - Q. What is haz-mat training?
- A. Hazardous material training. Also training with the meth lab awareness and also processing meth labs, dismantling meth labs, things like that.
 - Q. And in your eight years with the fire marshal's

office, have you ever received any awards or certificates?

A. Yes, I have. I've received the Meritorious Service Award from the Missouri Department of Public Safety. Also Employee of the Month Award from the Department of Public Safety. And Employee of the Month from the Missouri Division of Fire Safety. Also I received an award from the Professional Fire and Fraud Investigator's Association for my investigative duties and also from the Missouri Chapter of the International Arson Awareness Group.

- Q. And, sir, have you done any teaching or training in that period of time as a fire marshal?
- A. For the Division of Fire Safety I teach fire investigation courses. I teach meth lab awareness courses to fire departments, police, and EMS. Like I said, I've also done a training video for investigations and also meth lab awareness for the Federal Emergency Television Network.
 - Q. Have you investigated any arson fires or any fires?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Have you ever investigated a suspicious fire?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And could you estimate for the jury how many of those investigation you've done?
- A. Total number of fires up to now would be somewhere in approximately a thousand.
 - Q. And, sir, have you ever been qualified as an expert

witness in fire investigations in any court anywhere? 1 2 In state courts, approximately, I believe 13, 14 counties in the State of Missouri and also in federal court. 3 Q. How about in this circuit? Have you ever been 4 qualified as an expert witness? 5 A. Yes, sir. And has that been several time? Yes, sir. A. MR. RUPP: Your Honor, I offer this witness as an expert in the field of arson investigations. MR. WILLIAMS: No objections, Judge. THE COURT: Court recognizes the witness as an expert in that field. Q.(By Mr. Rupp): Sir, I'd like to call your attention to December 5^{th} , of the year 1998, and ask you, do you recall beginning to do a fire investigation on that day? A. Yes, sir. And can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury how you became aware that there was a fire? A. Approximately 7:00 a.m. I was contacted by the Potosi Fire Department in requesting my assistance with a fire scene investigation in Hopewell, which was the Politte residence.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

residence at?

253

Q. And what county was Hopewell or the Politte

1 A. In Washington County. And did you in fact respond out there? Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes, I did.
- Can you basically tell us how you got there where it is?
- Basically the residence is 8 highway, east of Potosi, and take what I call Hopewell Road which runs south of 8 highway to the Politte residence.
 - And at that particular point what did you do?
- Upon arrival Sheriff Ron Skiles was at the location with members of his department, also the fire department. contacted Sheriff Skiles. Basically he told me that there was one fatality and also there had been a couple individuals at the residence. He requested that I process the fire scene evidence, and his department would take care of everything else.
 - And what do you do to process the fire scene?
- Part of it as far as photographing, documenting the Basically we worked the exterior to the interior, from the least amount of damage --
 - So you start outside; is that correct? Q.
 - A. Yes.
 - 0. And work inside?
 - A. Yes.
 - And you take photographs; is that correct? Q.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Yes, sir.
- I'm going to show you what has been marked as photo board number 3. And I don't want to go into a lot of detail, but first of all, do you recognize these photographs?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - And who took these photographs?
 - It looks like my photographs.
- Okay. Are these true and accurate of what the crime scene looked like when you arrived?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. RUPP: Your Honor, I ask that State's Exhibit No. 3 be introduced.

THE COURT: Any objections?

MR. WILLIAMS: No objections, Judge.

THE COURT: Exhibit 3 is admitted.

- Q. (By Mr. Rupp): So you said you start from the outside and work your way inside; is that correct?
- Yes. With a fire scene investigation you work from the least amount of damage to the most amount. Basically, you do an exterior examination, then the interior, leading from the least amount of damage to the most amount.
- Q. All right. Let's start with the exterior of the building. What did you first do?
 - Did a walk-around the residence basically looking

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

A. One of the things was, there were three gas cans,

- Did you continue to look at the residence?
- Yes, I did. A.

small of the wall.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Did you walk all the way around the residence? 0.

(Drive Way / Garage Slab

- A. Yes, sir.
- I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit No. 6, and ask you if you can identify that?
- Again, a photograph of the exterior of the residence. The photograph was actually taken kind of the southwest of the residence, showing the west exterior of the wall or actually it would be the back of the trailer, mobile

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

home, and also a portion of the south exterior of the wall.

- Is this a true and accurate photograph of what it looked like on that day?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. RUPP: Your Honor, I ask that 6 be admitted.

MR. WILLIAMS: No objections, Judge.

THE COURT: Admitted, 6.

- Q. (By Mr. Rupp): Now, why would you be looking at the exterior of the trailer?
- A. Again, based on training, working the investigation from the least amount of damage to the most amount, in this case very little damage was evidenced to the exterior of the residence. So, again, doing a full investigation, needed to go from the least amount to the most amount.
- Q. Well, what does it tell you, that there was no damage on the outside of the trailer?
- A. Basically it showed that the fire had not burned enough to actually penetrate the exterior walls, burnt to the exterior portion. No direct flame damage to the outside.
 - Did you look any further around the residence?
- A. Yes. I made a complete circle around the residence.
- Q. And did you notice anything else around the residence that caught your attention?

- A. (No response.)
- Q. Did you look around the vehicle?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. Was there a vehicle parked there, first?
- A. There was a pickup truck parked in the driveway on the gravel, just before you got to the concrete slab.
 - Q. Would that be right off to here?
- A. Yes. It would be kind of the lower left-hand portion of that photograph.
 - Q. And anything catch your attention at that point?
- A. By the vehicle there was a melted plastic, like, soda bottle that was melted. It apparently had some type of flame damage at some point to melting.
- Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as State's Exhibit No. 7 and 8 for identification, and ask you if you first of all recognize these photographs?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And who took these photographs?
 - A. It looks like photographs that I would take.
- Q. Are they true and accurate of what the scene looked like at that time?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. RUPP: I ask, Your Honor, that 7 and 8 be admitted.

THE COURT: Any objection?

The pasher pasher on Justing 259

MR. WILLIAMS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Exhibit 7 and 8 are admitted.

- Q.(By Mr. Rupp): First of all, let's go with number 7.
- A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

13

- Q. What are we looking at?
- A. It's basically the passenger's side. There's a pickup that was sitting there in the driveway. The main thing that I was focusing on was the melted container that was laying on the gravel driveway.
 - Q. And 8 would be what?
- A. It would be a closeup, more of a closeup type photograph of the melted container.
- Q. Okay. Did you continue to do an exterior examination around the area?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you find anything else that you noticed that was unusual?
- A. One of the other things, I found another melted soda bottle or plastic bottle. It appeared to be a soda bottle. It would be actually southeast of the residence. It was partially melted. There was a burned area in the leaves, extending kind of north and south from the bottle itself. And it appears to have, like, a cloth in the open part of the container. It appeared to be, like, a wick or something like that.

Q. Sir, I'm going to show you what has been marked as State's Exhibit 9 and 10 for identification. First, I'd ask you, do you recognize those photographs?

A. Yes I do.

1

2

4

5

Q. And have you ever seen them before?

A. Yes. They would be photograph that I took on that day.

Q. And is it true and accurate how it appeared when you arrived at the crime scene?

A. Yes, sir.

MR. RUPP: Your Honor, I'd ask 9 and 10 be admitted.

THE COURT: Counsel, do you have any objection to 9 and 10?

MR. WILLIAMS: No objections, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Admitted.

Q.(By Mr. Rupp): Let's go with 9 first, and ask you, what is this a photograph of?

A. It's a photograph of a melted plastic bottle.

Q. And what is 10?

A. Ten is a photograph of the same bottle, only from a little farther distance, showing a burnt area of the leaves basically extending just a short ways south of the bottle and probably 10 or 15 feet north of the bottle.

Q. And where approximately was that located?

expect pages tacking something 261 nothing to with

- A. It would have been southeast of the residence as you're looking at it.
 - Q. Do you see the rough diagram up there?
- A. This is the front of the residence. Right here, somewhere, right here pretty close to the driveway, so as you come in the driveway approximately a hundred, 125 feet southeast of the residence.
- Q. Other than that, did you find anything noteworthy on the exterior of the residence not leaving that property?
 - A. At that time, no.
- Q. Then you indicated after you do an exterior examination you then do what?
 - A. Went to the interior of the residence.
- Q. And when you went into the interior of the residence, did you do a diagram or anything to assist you in testifying with this jury?
- A. After going through the fire investigation process, yes, I did make a diagram.
- Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as State's Exhibit No. 2 for identification, and ask you if you recognize this?
- A. It's a blown up version of a rough sketch that I made of the residence showing exterior walls and interior walls.
 - Q. And you indicated this sketch is not to scale?

Ŭ

A. Yes.

Q. Other than that, is that a fair and accurate representation of how it looked?

A. Yes.

Q. And do you think this will aid you in testifying today?

A. Yes, sir.

MR. RUPP: Your Honor, I ask that it be admitted.

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, we would object to the admission of 2, due to the fact that it's not to scale. It could be misleading later. The measurements maybe important in this case. We would object at this point.

THE COURT: Objection will be overruled. It's testified that it's not to scale, and the jury must understand it's not to scale, but it will aid the witness. Objection's overruled.

- Q.(By Mr. Rupp): All right. First of all, would you show the ladies and gentlemen of the jury where the front or where you entered the residence from?
- A. Okay. One of the things, what I call is the east side of the residence, which would be the front portion of the residence, which is basically to the bottom of the sketch itself.
- Q. Okay. And when you entered in the residence where then did you go?

Q

- A. Entered through the front door or small wooden porch. Entered through the front door, which actually took me into kind of a walkway. To the right would be the living room area.
 - Q. And then where did you go?
- A. Basically I had been told there was a victim in the northeast corner bedroom. I walked into the living room, could see the legs of the victim in that northeast corner bedroom. I proceeded to the southern portion of the residence, again, working from the least amount of damage to the most amount of damage, looking for the cause of the fire, origin, things like that.
- Q. Let me show you what has been marked as photo board number 4, and ask you first of all, do you recognize these photographs?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Do you know who took them?
 - A. It looks like my photographs.
- Q. And are these true and accurate of how the crime scene appeared when you did your investigation on the $5^{\rm th}$ of December?
 - A. Yes.

MR. RUPP: Your Honor, I ask 4 be admitted.

MR. WILLIAMS: No objection.

THE COURT: Admitted.

- Q.(By Mr. Rupp): So you indicated that you entered the house through a walkway or a front door?
- A. The front door on the top left photograph shows a small wooden porch, the doorway which would lead into the area. There's a small walkway type just inside the door, which is shown in the middle photograph at the top of the page there.
 - Q. And is this the living room area?
- A. Yes. The living room as you walk in the door would be off to your. This is the TV, kind of in the southeast portion of the living room, sir.
- Q. And then you walked into the living room; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you indicated from where you could stand or see, you could observe --
- A. Yes. As shown in the photograph, kind of the top right-corner of Exhibit 4, you see the victim lying in that northeast corner bedroom. Again, I proceeded on to the southern portion of the residence, again, working least amount of damage to the most amount.
- Q. So would the middle column, bottom photograph, what is that a photograph of?
- A. Be the living room area. Also in the left-hand portion of that photograph you can see a doorway which would

go into the northeast corner bedroom where the victim was located.

- Q. And so you then went to the opposite end of this bedroom to begin your investigation; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- Q. Which would be where?
- A. What I determined as the southern portion of the residence, which as you're looking at the sketch would be to your left-hand side as marked "bedroom areas".
- Q. And, again, I'm going to have you focus on the middle column, middle photograph, and ask you, do you recognize that photograph?
- A. The photograph in the middle is a photograph of a bedroom basically in the southeast portion of the mobile home itself.
- Q. And were you able to determine later, at some subsequent point who occupied that bedroom?
 - A. Would be the defendant, Michael Politte.
- Q. And is that true and accurate of how it looked when you got there?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Undisturbed to your knowledge?
 - A. That was how it was when I got to the scene, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Again, I have --

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, may we approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, my concern, I don't really have any major objections at this point, but my concern is that Mr. Rupp, he has a lot of photographs of this body.

And I don't think we need an undue number of photographs of the body of this murder victim show to the jury, because they're gory in detail. I would just ask that at some point maybe Mr. Rupp knows how many total photographs he has total.

THE COURT: I don't know.

MR. WILLIAMS: Especially, it would be redundant to show the same thing.

MR. RUPP: I don't intend on putting but four of these photographs, four of these photographs of the actual body and, of course, a couple after she was removed, because they're all part of the fire investigation, and it was a murder scene.

THE COURT: So about four to six total of the body?

MR. RUPP: Of the body. I do have other

photographs, but of the body, yes.

MR. WILLIAMS: We have no objections to that, Judge.

THE COURT: Very well.

(The proceedings returned to open court, and the following was had:)

- Q.(By Mr. Rupp): Again, I hate to back you up, but I'm going to show you now what has been marked as State's Exhibit No. 12. And that is just a blowup of the top photograph; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Top center?
 - A. Top center on Exhibit 4, yes.
- Q. And that you've already testified is true and accurate of how it appeared when you arrived at the scene; is that true?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. RUPP: I ask 12 be admitted.

MR. WILLIAMS: No objection, Judge.

THE COURT: Twelve, admitted.

- Q.(By Mr. Rupp): After that, that corner of the living room, what is directly as you're taking this photograph right here facing that TV, what would be directly behind you?
 - A. The center photograph on Exhibit 4?
 - Q. Yes, directly behind you?
- A. It would be the dining room, kitchen area and also a fireplace.
 - Q. Okay. Again, I'm going to ask you if you'd look at

- Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as State's Exhibit No. 15 for identification, and ask you if you recognize that?
- A. Basically standing, looking south from the living room, dining room area. Doorway to the left is a southeast corner bedroom. The doorway basically straight ahead is the southwest corner bedroom.
 - Q. And, again, is that true and accurate?
 - Yes, sir. A.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RUPP: I ask that 15 be admitted.

MR. WILLIAMS: No objection, Judge.

THE COURT: Admitted, 15.

- Q. (By Mr. Rupp): And as you go into that hallway, and I'm talking about that hallway in between the two bedrooms, you indicate a room right here; is that correct?
- A. As you enter the hallway there's a bathroom located north of the southwest corner bedroom, actually south of the dining room.
- Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as 16, and ask you, what is that a photograph of?

- It's a photograph of the bathroom which is located, A. 1 again, just south of the dining room area. 2 Q. And, again, is that true and accurate of how it
 - appeared on the 5th of December, 1998?
 - A. Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RUPP: I ask 16 be admitted.

MR. WILLIAMS: No objection.

THE COURT: Admitted, 16.

- Q. (By Mr. Rupp): And as you're facing the bathroom, what would be directly behind you?
- Behind you would be basically the southeast corner bedroom.
 - Q. Okay.
 - It would be the hallway and that bedroom.
- This bedroom? 0.
- Yes, the lower left-hand portion of the diagram.
 - I want to show you what's been marked as State's Q. Exhibit No. 17 for identification, and ask you if you recognize that?
 - It's a photograph, kind of an overall photograph of the southeast corner bedroom standing from the doorway, actually looking east to southeast.
 - I think you previously identified that as being occupied by Josh Sansoucie or his bedroom?
 - It is Michael B. Politte's, the defendant.

- Q. Yes, thank you.
- A. It's his bedroom.
- Q. And I'm going to show you what has been marked as State's Exhibit No. 18 for identification, and ask you if you recognize that photograph?
- A. Again, standing at the doorway of this bedroom is the bedroom located in the southwest portion of the residence. Actually, it would be kind of the upper left-hand corner of the diagram.
- Q. And is that true and accurate how it appeared on December $5^{\rm th}$?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - MR. RUPP: Ask that 18 be admitted.
 - MR. WILLIAMS: No objection.
 - THE COURT: You haven't offered 17, have you?
 - MR. RUPP: Your Honor, I'm offering 17 as well.
 - MR. WILLIAMS: No objection.
 - THE COURT: Exhibit 17 and Exhibit 18 are admitted.
- Q.(By Mr. Rupp): I'd like to take a break from the photographs real briefly and ask you, after you went inside, how does looking from the least amount of damage to the most amount of damage, what does that assist you with in doing a fire investigation? Why do you do that?
- A. Determining, working from the least amount of damage to the most amount of damage would lead you under

normal conditions from the, again, least amount to the most amount, will lead you to the area of origin and also the cause of the fire.

- Q. And can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury where the least amount of damage was located?
- A. No fire damage was found in the southern portion of the residence. There was some smoke damage, more in the southwest corner bedroom and also bathroom. Very little to none in the southeast corner bedroom. And it was evident from the smoke lines that that door had been closed due to the way the smoke lines were on that door.
- Q. And you're talking about the bedroom that was occupied by the defendant, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. What did you do then?
- A. Actually proceeded back north through the dining room, kitchen area. There was a utility room just north of the kitchen itself. And I proceeded back into the living room, which would be the eastern portion, mid-section of the trailer, and then also into the northeast portion of the residence where the victim was found.
- Q. Prior to getting into the bedroom, did you try to determine if there were any natural, artificial, other causes of a fire other than what was in that bedroom?
 - A. One of the things in doing a fire investigation,

before a person can rule a fire, an intentionally set fire, what we can an incendiary fire, we need to rule out all the natural possibilities and accidental possibilities. The actual possibilities are such things like lightning.

Accidental possibilities are things like electric systems, things like that.

Q. And did you investigate those?

- A. Through the course of the entire investigation, that is part of the elimination process. And that was done, and all that was taken into account at the end of your investigation.
- Q. Did you investigate every possibility that you know of to investigate it?
- A. Yes. And as we go through each room, we were looking for signs of any fire damage, any signs of fire origin, anything that could have caused or contributed to that fire, yes.
- Q. You then headed back towards the area of the most fire, is that correct, heaviest concentration of fire damage?
- A. Yes. Again, going through the residence, least amount of damage to the most amount, that led me to the northeast corner bedroom, which was the area that had basically the most fire damage.
 - Q. And by that, are you heading in the direction of

- A. Yes. That's a photograph from the living room looking north through the doorway of the northeast corner bedroom.
 - Q. Is that true and accurate of how that appeared looking into the northwest corner?
 - A. Into the northeast corner, yes.
 - Q. Northeast, I'm sorry.
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. RUPP: I ask 19 be admitted.

THE COURT: Any objection to 19?

MR. WILLIAMS: No objection, Judge.

THE COURT: Admitted.

- Q.(By Mr. Rupp): Tell us what happened when you went into that bedroom.
- A. Again, from the doorway, actually a portion of the living room looking into the doorway of the northeast corner bedroom, it was evident there was a victim laying just inside the doorway, actually feet south toward the doorway. Victim's head north toward the bed.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 20 for identification, and ask if you recognize that?
- A. Yes. It's a photograph of the victim lying in the floor of the northeast corner bedroom.
 - Q. And from what direction would you be taking that

photograph?

A. The photograph is being taken from the doorway, looking north over the victim toward the bed, actually looking north of the victim itself.

- Q. So in this direction facing that way?
- A. Yes. You'd be in the doorway, between the living room and the northeast corner bedroom.
- Q. Is that true and accurate of how it appeared on December 5^{th} , 1998?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. RUPP: I ask that number 20 be admitted.

MR. WILLIAMS: No objection.

THE COURT: Twenty is admitted.

- Q.(By Rupp): What then, after you observed the body laying there, what then did you do?
- A. Continued with the investigation. Went around that room, looking at the victim, looking at any accidental possibility there could be in the room that could have caused the fire itself.
- Q. And when you went around the side of the victim, did you find any accidental possibility?
- A. The fire scene itself was actually a simple fire scene compared to some of them that we work. The fire damage was contained to the upper portion of the victim's body and barely scorched the southwest corner of the bed.

And, again, all that fire damage was contained just to the upper portion of the victim's body itself. Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 21, 22, and 23. What are those photographs of? Both photographs are of the victim and the fire damage to the floor itself. And would that be at different angles? Yes, sir. One would be from the left side of the victim and the other one the right side? Yes. Number 21 would be a photograph more from the upper right-hand portion above the victim's right arm, the upper portion shooting back toward that victim. And 22? 0. Photograph mainly of the left-hand side, left arm of the victim. MR. RUPP: I ask that 21 and 22 be admitted. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. WILLIAMS: No objection, Judge. THE COURT: Exhibits 21 and 22 will be admitted. Q.(By Mr. Rupp): You indicated from your observations that you didn't believe that any natural or accidental

A. Yes, sir.

causes caused this fire?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. What did you base that on?

- A. Portions of it is on process of elimination, again, going through the trailer, looking for natural and accidental possibilities. Also the area of origin. There was no accidental or natural possibility in that area, which is the upper portion of the victim's body.
- Q. Were you able to determine an approximate area of origin?
- A. Again, area of origin, upper portion of the victim's body extending out onto the carpet itself was where the burn damage was. Heaviest burn area would be just below the victim's right ear and above the shoulder area.
 - Q. And did you take a photograph of that?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit No. 23 for identification, and ask you what is significant about that photograph?
- A. Again, the photograph showing the victim, heavy burn to the upper portion of the victim's body, chest shoulders, head area, fire actually damaging the carpet. Heavy damage just above the right shoulder, between the right shoulder and the right ear.
- Q. I'm going interrupt at this time. Is that fair and accurate?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. RUPP: I ask that 23 be admitted.

2

3

4

5

6

_

10

9

11

12

13

 $\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0$

15 min would 16

rang pur

Kill to 19

Strong 20

JULY JAMES 22

or the the 24

Though 25

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. WILLIAMS: No objection.

THE COURT: Exhibit 23 is admitted.

Q.(By Mr. Rupp): Now, if you can hold that where the jury can see what you're talking about. I'm real sorry to make you repeat that, but you indicated that you determined where the approximate origin of the fire is; is that correct?

A. Yes. Again, the area of origin is in the area of the upper portion of the victim's body. Basically, there was heavy fire damage from, basically, the chest, shoulders, head area of the body, also spreading out onto the carpet itself. There's a floor joist that you can see in the hole. Basically the fire burned hot enough and fast enough that it went down through the carpet and through the floor and exposed and caused damage to the floor joist. Again, the heaviest amount of damage was basically right below the right ear of the victim and above the right shoulder, again, taking out portions of the carpet, wood flooring, and also damaging the floor joist.

- Q. Did you later find significance as to where that origin of fire was in relation to this homicide investigation?
- A. Through the autopsy report, the victim had a fracture to the right lower portion of the skull. Would have the right lower portion of the skull.

Sun file it also to long 279 For het into s Room Mondy enough smoke to into s Room Mondy anough smoke to into s Room with the constitution of the mile of the constitution of the mile of the constitution of the mile of the constitution of the skill.

have had a wound there. The heaviest area of damage was to that area.

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, at this point we would object to a continuing publication of these exhibits at this point, just the last ones of the body. The State is free to publish them at a later date. It just seems unreasonable to put them in front of this jury for an extended period of time.

MR. RUPP: The officer's testifying about his arson/homicide investigation. These are relevant photographs for the jury to see.

THE COURT: They are admitted into evidence. We've already had a side bar about the quantity of photographs to determine that there's not an excessive number. Objection's overruled.

- Q.(By Mr. Rupp): Again, I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 24 and 25 for identification, and ask you, do you recognize these?
- A. Number 24 is a closeup. At that point the victim had been moved by the coroner. It shows the heavy floor level damage, also heavy fire damage down on the actual floor joist, where the fire had actually burned down, again, to the carpet wood flooring on the floor joist. The clear portion where you can see the plyboard up here, the reason that is not burned, that was actually protected by the

Honor.

MR. WILLIAMS: No objection, Judge.

carpet. And I had taken a sample, so that's why you see that board up there.

- Q. So you removed that portion right there; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. That's on the right side of this photograph?
- A. Upper left-hand corner as the jury's looking at.

 Yes, I did remove that portion, and that's why you see clear wood that is not burned.
- Q. And, again, I showed you number 25. Would that be approximately the same thing after the victim was removed and from a little further back?
- A. Yes. The victim, and you actually see an imprint of the legs of the victim and the mid-section. It will actually tell you the photograph was taken from the east side of the victim, where the victim had been laying, pointing west. Again, you still see an imprint of the body showing the burn, the heavy burn to the upper portion of that. Again, at the top of the photograph there's clear unburned wood, and that was where I had taken my sample. But that's just backed off a little bit.

MR. RUPP: I ask that 24 and 25 be admitted, Your

THE COURT: State's 24 and 25 are admitted.

- Q.(By Mr. Rupp): Now, Officer Holdman, after you determined that this was not an accidental fire, were you able to make some sort of determination of what would have caused this type of fire?
- A. From conducting a full investigation, least amount of damage to the most amount, ruling out natural and accidental possibilities, it was clearly evident that it was an intentionally set fire, what we call an incendiary fire. That someone had intentionally started that fire. Again, with the fire damage to the upper portion of the victim's body, the damage below the right ear, and also the damage to the floor, it was clearly evident that someone had added a liquid accelerant to introduce that to that scene and ignited the accelerant.
- Q. Now, you indicated that there was a large amount of charring and burning down; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. The fire did not spread out?
- A. The fire basically did damage to the body, the carpet just above the victim's head, the upper portion of the body just above the victim's head, and also barely scorched the bedding on the southwest corner or the bed.
 - Q. Are you talking about this bed skirt here?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Which the victim's head was, what, a couple of inches, feet?
 - A. Couple feet probably.
 - Q. A very short distance from that?
- A. Two to three feet, something like that, somewhere in that area.
- Q. Is there anything else that you observed that made you feel other than the fire damage that this might be a homicide or a suspicious death?
- A. It was clearly evident, again, from the area of origin and the cause that someone had intentionally set that fire causing damage to that body. And that was a possibility on severely -- or on killing the victim. It could have been a contributing factor.
 - Q. Did you observe the victim's body?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And did you observe anything about the legs that indicated that there might be evidence of foul play?
- A. There was in the room and on the victim's left thigh, there was blood.
- Q. Would you please exit the witness stand and show me.
- A. Looking at this photograph in the upper left-hand corner, this appeared to be blood, kind of splattered down or streaked down on the upper thigh area down toward the

knee on the left leg.

- Q. Did you find any other blood splatters or anything else that indicated intentional foul play?
 - A. Yes I did.
- Q. And can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what else did you observe?
- A. On the doorway as you go into the room, the door would open to your left, there were some small blood splatters there. Also as you see, the right-hand side of this photograph where the door jam is, just inside that door jam where the light switch was, where some blood actually ran down the wall and also some smears, some smeared blood on that wall itself.
- Q. In addition to your still photographs, did you do any other kind of photography?
- A. Basically to backup my photographs, a lot of times I'll actually do that, and I'll always, sometimes, just to backup my photographs, I take a video.
- Q. I'm going to show you what I've previously marked as State's Exhibit No. 34 for identification, and ask you if you recognize that?
 - A. Yes. It would be a copy of the original videotape.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I made this copy for you.
 - Q. On your original videotape was it on a VHS format?

The original videotape was on an 8-millimeter. 1 2 transferred it over to the large tape for court purposes. And did you observe the copy that you made? 3 Yes, I did. A. And did you observe the original? 5 6 A. Yes, I did. 7 And is the copy an identical of the original that 8 you made? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Did you edit it, delete it, change it, or anything? A. No, sir. 11 MR. RUPP: Your Honor, at this time I ask that 12 13 Exhibit No. 34 be admitted into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? 14 15 MR. WILLIAMS: No objection. 16 THE COURT: Exhibit 34 is admitted. 17 MR. RUPP: Your Honor, I ask that 34 be played for the jury. THE COURT: No objection? MR. WILLIAMS: No objection. THE COURT: You may do so. Set it up. There will be no questioning or comments during the playing of the video.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(At this time State's Exhibit No. 34, the

MR. RUPP: Yes, Judge.

videotape is played for the jury in its entirety.)

- Q.(By Mr. Rupp): Mr. Holdman, one of the things that might be of confusion to the jury, on the front door you pointed to some red marks around that door?
- A. Yes. Initially when I taped the videotape, I thought it could possibly be a couple drops of blood. The Washington County Sheriff had asked for some assistance in handling the scene. Contacted my office. Members from the Missouri State Highway Patrol crime lab came down and processed the scene, prints, and blood, and things like that.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And basically that was not blood above the door. It was some type of a stain, but it was not blood.
 - Q. Or around the door; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You also indicated you found a baseball bat in the yard with some red marks?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Was that also submitted to the lab?
- not sure. The sheriff's department obtained that bat. I'm
- Q. I'm sorry for the interruption. I just thought that was the best time to go through the video. We were talking about what you observed physically on the victim.